

Council Assembly

Wednesday 12 November 2025

7.00 pm

Council Offices, 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

Supplemental Agenda No. 1

List of Contents

Item No.	Title	Page No.
2.2. Public question time	One public question has been received.	1
3.1. COMMUNITY EVIDENCE	Three requests to present community evidence have been received, from: Citizens' Advice Southwark Policy Institute, King's College London TUC London East and South East	2 - 3
4. Deputations	Two in order deputation requests have been received from: Eco-Council, Judith Kerr Primary School Living Bankside	4 - 7
6.1. Proportionality and Allocation of Seats on Committees; Constitutional Changes: Appointment of Chairs and Vice-chairs; Motions	Addendum	8

Contact

Virginia Wynn-Jones, Andrew Weir, on 020 7525 7055 or 020 7525 7222 or email:
virginia.wynn-jones@southwark.gov.uk; andrew.weir@southwark.gov.uk;
constitutional.team@southwark.gov.uk
Webpage: <http://www.southwark.gov.uk>

List of Contents

Item No.	Title	Page No.
7. Amendments	Any member of the council may submit an amendment to a report or motion on the agenda. The amendments will be circulated to all members in a supplemental agenda.	9 - 19

Meeting Name:	Council Assembly
Date:	12 November 2025
Report title:	Public Question Time
Ward(s) or groups affected:	All
Classification:	Open
Reason for lateness (if applicable):	Not applicable
From:	Proper Constitutional Officer

1. QUESTION FROM ANGIE SOMERSIDE TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR COUNCIL HOMES

Why are the council failing in their duty to make important structural repairs and maintain Harold Moody House, a building of significant cultural interest? Not only is this a massive health and safety risk to residents, it is also a dereliction of duty by the council as freeholders.

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Chidilim Agada, Head of Constitutional Services
Report Author	Virginia Wynn-Jones, Principal Constitutional Officer
Version	Final
Dated	7 November 2025

Agenda Item 3.1

Meeting Name:	Council Assembly
Date:	12 November 2025
Report title:	Community Evidence on the Themed Debate
Ward(s) or groups affected:	All
Classification:	Open
Reason for lateness (if applicable):	Not applicable
From:	Proper Constitutional Officer

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Introduction

1. The council assembly business panel agreed that the theme for council assembly in November 2025 should be “Rights for Residents: Putting people first.”

Community evidence on the theme

2. The deadline for community evidence was 11.59pm, 6 November 2025.
3. The following requests have been received by the deadline for consideration by this meeting and they are listed in the order received below.
4. Which community evidence shall be considered and the length of each submission will be established by way of a programme motion at the start of council assembly. Community evidence shall be conducted under the existing rules for public participation.
5. If the community evidence is not dealt with by council assembly, the matter shall be referred without debate to the relevant cabinet member who shall, after consideration, respond with an open reply to the sender.

Submissions

1. Citizens Advice Southwark

The community evidence requests states:

“We would like to speak about the Renters’ Rights Act, and our work at Citizens Advice Southwark with regards to this highly anticipated legislation and how it will affect private renters and landlords in the borough. Our Southwark Private Renters’ Support Project helps renters better understand their legal rights, and empowers them to seek safety and security in their housing.

Our work with low income and marginalised renters has shown us both the strong appetite for more rights-based training on the Renters’ Rights Act, as well as giving

us valuable insights to the challenges renters will continue to face over the implementation period of the Act. We would like to share our thoughts on the need for sustained engagement with private tenants to promote awareness of new rights for renters, as well as our collaborative work within the community, fostering stronger support networks in the voluntary and community sector for the benefit of tenants, and supporting the council with engagement and building trust."

2. Policy Institute, King's College London

The community evidence requests states:

"We will present on the Living the London Living Wage (LLW) research project we've been running alongside Southwark Council and the Living Wage Foundation, looking at the impact that earning the LLW has on residents of the borough."

3. TUC London East & South East

The community evidence requests states:

"I am a project hosted at the TUC [and] an independent voice for those using Social Security. I coordinate amongst other things a Southwark Know Your Rights on Social Security voluntary Peer to Peer group with local Southwark residents. I can talk about the issues they are facing with poverty, discrimination, mental health crises and more, and about the support or lack of support we feel is available locally."

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Constitution	160 Tooley Street London SE1 2QH	Constitutional Team constitutional.team@southwark.gov.uk 020 7525 7228

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Chidilim Agada, Head of Constitutional Services	
Report Author	Virginia Wynn-Jones, Principal Constitutional Officer	
Version	Final	
Dated	7 November 2025	
Key decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER		
Officer title	Comments sought	Comments included
Assistant Chief Executive – Governance and Assurance	No	No
Strategic Director of Resources	No	No
Cabinet Member	No	No
Date final report sent to Constitutional Team	November 2025	

Agenda Item 4

Meeting Name:	Council Assembly
Date:	12 November 2025
Report title:	Deputation Requests
Ward(s) or groups affected:	All
Classification:	Open
Reason for lateness (if applicable):	Not applicable
From:	Proper Constitutional Officer

RECOMMENDATION

1. That council assembly considers whether or not to hear deputations from the groups listed in paragraph 5 of this report.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. Council assembly procedure rule 2.6 (11) states that no more than three deputations shall be considered at any one meeting. However the meeting can decide to suspend this rule in order to hear more or vary the order.
3. When considering whether to hear the deputation request, council assembly can decide:
 - to receive the deputation at this meeting or a future meeting; or
 - that the deputation not be received (if the matter is not dealt with by council assembly, the matter shall be referred without debate to the relevant cabinet member who shall after consideration, respond with an open reply to the sender); or
 - to refer the deputation to the most appropriate committee/ sub-committee.
4. A deputation shall consist of no more than six people, including its spokesperson. One member of the deputation shall be allowed to address the meeting for no longer than 5 minutes. The deputation spokesperson or any member of the deputation nominated by him or her shall be invited to ask a question of the leader or relevant cabinet member. After this time councillors may ask questions of the deputation for up to 5 minutes. At the conclusion of the questions, the deputation will be shown to the public seating area where they may listen to the remainder of the open section of the meeting.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

Deputation requests

5. The following deputation requests have been received:

1. Eco-Council, Judith Kerr Primary School

The deputation request states:

“Pupils from Judith Kerr Primary School (JKPS) will make a general deputation about road danger and air pollution outside their school. Last year the school Eco-Council conducted a competition to create banners for the school railings requesting drivers slow down. There was also a parent survey conducted and a pupil petition to Southwark on the topics, organised by then Year 3 (now Year 4) student Bea Hornsby Bolton - both of which were presented to Councillor Margy Newens and MP Helen Hayes at an event outside the school.

Since then the Eco-Council has been working hard to encourage active transport to the school, with JKPS leaping from a Bronze to Gold Travel for Life accreditation, and they've recently helped conduct a new survey to include the views of families at other local primary schools too about road danger around the crucial Half Moon Lane, Village Way, Beckwith Road intersection. Pupils want to use this opportunity to present some of the results and share their own experience of road danger and how it makes them feel directly outside their school.”

2. Living Bankside

The deputation request states:

“We are proud to be a partner and supporter of Southwark Council. We are passionate about the objectives of the Council.

We raise this issue on behalf of hundreds of residents who suffer from the impact of anti-social behaviour (ASB) and crime due to the improper management of licensed venues and a lack of appropriate enforcement.

We request that:

- Southwark Council employs greater measures to tackle the detrimental effects from the saturation of licensed venues in the Borough and Bankside Cumulative Impact Area (CIA) and that;
- the Council works with residents in dealing with this and other matters.

We note that there are many kind, caring and collaborative councillors and council officers. With regards to ASB and Crime we are particularly concerned about:

- late night noise nuisance,
- public urination, defecation and vomiting
- litter,
- late night vehicular pollution from patrons
- the use of cannabis,
- vandalism, theft, and robbery
- brawls
- noise nuisance from servicing early in the morning
- overflowing bins
- increase in rodents and pests.

And its severe detrimental impact on local residents, which includes:

- Impact on sleep, mental and physical health
- Children's exposure to inappropriate and harmful behaviour
- Loss of property and damage to property
- Increased risk to safety
- Increased fear in relation to safety, including vulnerable persons, women and those from minority backgrounds
- Impact on health and safety

There is a lack of support from the Council, a lack of sincere and genuine collaboration. The voices of residents are repeatedly disregarded and dismissed and this must change.

Southwark Council's Resident Involvement and Engagement Strategy places a strong emphasis on resident-led decision-making and empowering residents to shape improvements in their neighbourhood.

We would like to see residents having a greater role in decision making and shaping their neighbourhood.

We would welcome a meeting with the relevant cabinet member."

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Deputation Request File	Constitutional Team 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH	Virginia Wynn-Jones 020 7525 7055
Council Assembly Procedure Rule 2.6, Southwark Constitution	Constitutional Team 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH	Virginia Wynn-Jones 020 7525 7055

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Chidilim Agada, Head of Constitutional Services	
Report Author	Virginia Wynn-Jones, Principal Constitutional Officer	
Version	Final	
Dated	7 November 2025	
Key Decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER		
Title	Comments sought	Comments included
Assistant Chief Executive, Governance and Assurance	No	No
Strategic Director, Resources	No	No
Cabinet Member	No	No
Date final report sent to Constitutional Team	7 November 2025	

Agenda Item 6.1

Meeting Name:	Council Assembly
Date:	12 November 2025
Report title:	Proportionality and Allocation of Seats on Committees Constitutional Changes: Appointment of Chairs and Vice-chairs; Motions <u>Addendum report</u>
Ward(s) or groups affected:	All
Classification:	Open
Reason for lateness (if applicable):	Not Applicable
From:	Proper constitutional officer

RECOMMENDATIONS

Proportionality

1. To note the political balance of the council from 5 November 2025 as follows:

Group	Members	%
Labour	48	76.19
Liberal Democrat	11	17.46
<u>Green</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1.59</u>
<u>Independent members (not a group)</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4.76</u>
Total	63	100.00

2. To note that for the purpose of calculating the allocation of seats on committees, only political groups with greater than one member are considered i.e. the Labour and Liberal Democrat Groups.
3. Please note that the political proportionality for the Council is not affected by this change.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

4. This addendum report updates Recommendation 1 and 2 to the original report, following Councillor Kath Whittam's announcement on 5 November 2025 of her membership in the Green Party.

AMENDMENTS

ITEM 3.2: THEMED DEBATE – RIGHTS FOR RESIDENTS: PUTTING PEOPLE FIRST

(see pages 24-27 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT A

Proposed by: Councillor Emily Tester

Insert after 1.d

e. That access to safe, secure housing that ordinary people can afford is a right both self evident and embedded in various United Nations declarations and comments.

Delete “Conservative and Liberal Democrat coalition” in **2.a** and **insert** in place “previous Conservative governments”

Delete 2.c and **insert** in place:

2.c The Liberal Democrats put forward sensible and considered amendments in Parliament to the Employment Rights Bill which would have introduced more certainty and stability for both workers and businesses, and supported economic growth – particularly for small and medium sized businesses. Liberal Democrats support many of the aims of the bill, and have consistently advocated for stronger employment rights particularly surrounding boosting statutory sick days, strengthening support for whistleblowers, and increasing support for carers.

Delete 2.e

Insert after 2.f

2.g. The Liberal Democrats and its predecessor Liberal and SDP parties have a proud history of enshrining rights in law, with landmark advances in civil liberties and progressive reforms spearheaded by Liberal Democrat, Liberal, and Social Democratic Party politicians.

Insert after 2.g

h. Unlike Labour-run Southwark Council, Liberal Democrat run Sutton Council was awarded the highest grade possible by the Regulator of Social Housing

Delete 3.a

Insert after 3

4. Council Assembly notes with concern:

- a. That Southwark's own trade unions have registered repeated criticisms of this Labour-run council in relation to its role as an employer, including grievances around pay and annual leave that remain unresolved
- b. That the Chancellor of the Exchequer Rachel Reeves undermined the selective licensing scheme by dodging the required license for over a year, which she has accepted full responsibility for
- c. That this is the latest in a string of housing-related scandals and hypocrisy from Labour MPs, including:
 - i. A minister for homelessness evicting their tenants, rendering them homeless
 - ii. A Labour MP being exposed for being a "slum landlord", letting out homes that do not meet basic health and safety regulations
 - iii. The former Deputy Prime Minister avoiding stamp duty
- d. this has exposed significant weaknesses in the policy and council processes, which effectively allows landlords to evade regulation without penalty for as long as it takes for the council to be notified that they are in breach of the regulations.
- e. The policy of allowing unlicensed landlords to escape enforcement action if they apply for a license within 21 days of being notified that they require one is not fit for purpose
- f. That a letter from the Liberal Democrat Opposition to the Leader of the Council seeking assurances that every Southwark Councillor is in full compliance with all relevant housing and landlord regulations remains unanswered, despite being sent in September 2025.

5. Council Assembly further notes with concern areas where this Labour council has undermined residents' right to housing that is safe, secure, and affordable:

- a. Labour have overseen a housing crisis in Southwark and London, where the Labour authority and London Mayor have failed to deliver enough genuinely affordable homes, and last year Southwark Council failed to start a single council home.
- b. The Labour Party, now over a year in Government, has now also failed to back affordable housing, working with the Mayor to broker a "developer's charter" cutting affordable housing in London from 35% to 20%
- c. Repeated Major Works scandals that have seen overspends of well over £6 million resulting in work that is unacceptably poor
- d. The Regulator of Social Housing found "serious failings" in Labour's housing department, including 50% of homes that did not have working smoke alarms or up to date electrical safety tests
- e. The continued appalling conditions that those in housing association homes are enduring, with little to no action from the Labour council to hold them to account

f. The failure of the council to deliver enough affordable housing – either through planning policy or through direct delivery – has seen the social housing waiting list balloon to 21,604 households, a record high

Delete “Labour” in 5.a and **insert in place “our shared Southwark”**

Insert at end of “Council resolves to”

- a. Review its selective licensing enforcement policies and activities to ensure that those profiting from private housing provision are in full compliance with the required regulations
- b. Take full appropriate action where compliance is not found to be met, whether they are a “regular” landlord or the Chancellor of the Exchequer
- c. Ensure that housing services are as accessible as possible by returning to the system of one stop shops rolled out by the previous Liberal Democrat administration
- d. Follow the lead of other Liberal Democrat councils in London by convening a social housing improvement board, which is able to hold housing associations to account on behalf of residents
- e. Note its strong opposition to the affordable housing reforms which will devastate affordable housing provision in Southwark and London

Renumber all paragraphs and sub-paragraphs accordingly.

ITEM 5.2.1: MEMBERS' MOTIONS – Demanding Real Fairer Funding for Southwark (see pages 35-37 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT B

Moved: Councillor Esme Hicks

Seconded: Councillor Ian Wingfield

Delete all, replace with:

1. Council Assembly notes:

- a. In November 2022, Council Assembly passed a motion rightly condemning the assault on local government funding by Conservative and Liberal Democrat governments, which threatened the ability of Southwark Council to continue to deliver vital services for residents.
- b. The brutal cuts to local council spending undertaken by the Liberal Democrats in coalition with the Conservatives from 2010.
- c. That local government spending will not reach 2010 levels until the 2030s, due to the severity of cuts by undertaken by the Liberal Democrats in coalition with the Conservatives from 2010.
- d. That despite this assault from the Conservatives and Liberal Democrats, Southwark Labour have protected our libraries, in-sourced our leisure centres and continued to invest in local services – presenting balanced budgets every year.
- e. The Liberal Democrats' failure to present balanced 'alternative' budgets.
- f. The Section 151 Officer's response to the Liberal Democrats' 2023 'alternative' budget put forward, which stated:
 - i. "Collectively, these proposals create significant risk to the 2023-24 budget and are not in line with the recommendations of the s151 officer as set out to Council Assembly, especially with regard to collection rates, one off contributions from reserves and income targets."
- g. This Labour administration's three-year budget, which has kept the council's finances stable during a turbulent economic period following Liz Truss's mini-budget and Russia's invasion of Ukraine.

2. Council Assembly recognises:

- a. The impact of Conservative and Liberal Democrat austerity on local authorities across the country, which hollowed out many councils outside of London
- b. The need for wealth to be redistributed around the country.
- c. The long-overdue need for local government funding reform, which is being undertaken by the Labour government.

3. Council Assembly welcomes:

- a. This council's engagement with the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government, as well as other government ministers, which is ensuring a fair settlement for Southwark.
- b. This council's role in leading inner-London boroughs' campaign for fairer funding in meetings with the Ministry for Housing, Communities and Local Government and, alongside local Labour MPs, in Parliament.
- c. The leading role this council is playing alongside London Councils and Central London Forward to ensure a fair settlement for Southwark.

4. Council Assembly resolves to:

- a. Ask that the council continues to engage with the government to ensure a fair outcome for Southwark.
- b. Ask that the council continues to work with local MPs, London Councils, Central London Forward and others in ensuring a fair outcome for inner London boroughs.

ITEM 5.2.2: MEMBERS' MOTIONS – Action to divest the Southwark Pension Fund from conflict and genocide (see pages 37-39 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT C

Moved: Councillor Irina von Wiese
Seconded: Councillor Graham Neale

Insert in 1:

e. The Israeli Knesset voted to annex the West Bank, a move that would undermine the rights of Palestinian self-determination and threatens the future of the two-state solution

f. That access to aid and clean water continue to face unacceptable obstacles

Insert at end of “Council Assembly resolves”

f. That council calls on the Government to go much further in holding the Israeli Government to account, and play its role on the international stage to secure a lasting peace and a two state solution, taking measures to:

i. Ensure that the ceasefire is fully adhered to on both sides, aid routes are fully opened, the existing supply of aid from the UK Government is expanded on, and all hostages are returned

ii. Put maximum pressure on the Israeli Government to end existing illegal occupations, and stop the planned annexation of the West Bank

iii. Introduce a law prohibiting trade between the UK and illegal settlements in the Occupied Palestinian Territories, and review existing trade agreements to ensure that UK trade policy does not undermine the prospect of Palestinian statehood

iv. End the export of all weapons, including F-35 parts, to Israel

Renumber all paragraphs accordingly

ITEM 5.2.3: MEMBERS' MOTIONS – Southwark Council will not water down affordable housing requirements (see pages 40-41 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT D

Moved: Councillor Helen Dennis
Seconded: Councillor John Batteson

Delete all, replace with:

1. Council assembly notes:
 - a) That the prioritisation of genuinely affordable housing, and social rent housing in particular, is a central commitment of this administration
 - b) That since the establishment of Southwark's council house-building programme, 3,000 new council homes have either been built or are on site
 - c) That since January 2025, there have been new council homes completed on the Rennie Estate (South Bermondsey), Salisbury Estate (North Walworth), Sedgmoor Place (St Giles) and Linden Grove (Peckham Rye), with 581 new council homes also now delivered on the Aylesbury Estate (Faraday), and residents on the Tustin Estate (Old Kent Road) about to move into new homes
 - d) That the council has recently announced the next phase of new council homes delivery
 - e) That this Labour administration has a strong track record of securing additional homes at social rent from developers, with Southwark responsible for the highest number of GLA-funded social rent completions in London last year
 - f) That Liberal Democrat controlled councils in London (Sutton, Richmond and Kingston) started a combined total of 0 (zero) social rent homes last year
 - g) That in Labour-run Southwark there were more social rent homes built last year (581) than in Liberal Democrat controlled councils (Sutton, Richmond and Kingston) over the past 10 years combined
 - h) The Green Party's continued and consistent campaigning against new homes in any form, including 100% affordable schemes such as Flaxyards in Peckham
 - i) That our planning policies have secured over 50% affordable housing delivery in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area, and 35% affordable housing at the Biscuit Factory – which will on its own deliver 338 new social rent homes for Southwark residents on the waiting list

- j) That this Labour administration has a unique policy requiring affordable housing from student accommodation and co-living developers which over the last year has contributed to 892 affordable homes, of which 695 are at social rent, being consented by the Main Planning Committee. The Committee has also secured an additional £22.49m in potential contributions to our New Homes programme
- k) That Southwark's Labour Cabinet have also proposed increasing the CIL rate on speculative student accommodation to generate additional investment for our neighbourhoods, building on the £20m currently being distributed as a result of our planning policies
- l) That Southwark council is robustly defending its planning policies at the current Aylesham Centre appeal and inquiry
- m) That Southwark remains London's biggest social landlord, and that across Southwark, approximately 40% of households are socially renting
- n) That as Private Rented Sector (PRS) rents continue to escalate, demand for socially rented housing also continues to increase, with over 20,000 households now on the Southwark waiting list
- o) That eviction from the PRS is the second highest reason given for homelessness applications in Southwark
- p) That demand for new housing in Southwark is overwhelmingly for homes that are genuinely affordable for Southwark residents – for social rent homes, and homes that will enable families to remain the borough.

2. Council Assembly welcomes:

- a) The government's stated commitment to deliver the biggest boost in affordable and social housing in a generation
- b) The allocation of £39bn over 10 years to deliver the next Affordable Homes Programme with a greater emphasis on social rent homes
- c) The reform of Right to Buy including the reduction in discount, to prevent the loss of council homes
- d) The Renters' Rights Act, including the end to S21 evictions and the introduction of more secure, periodic tenancies
- e) The new City Hall Developer Investment Fund to enable the Mayor to unlock and accelerate housing delivery
- f) The exclusion of student accommodation and co-living schemes from the measures outlined in the proposed Emergency Package for London.

3. Council Assembly resolves:

- a) To continue leading the national campaign on behalf of our residents to save council housing for future generations
- b) To work with the government and GLA to focus efforts on scaling up the delivery of social rent homes in London, and especially council homes, as the top priority
- c) To join with the Chair of the Housing, Communities and Local Government Select Committee, in calling for a clear numerical target for the delivery of social rent homes nationally and across London
- d) To continue defending Southwark's planning policies and ensure we maximise long-term community benefit from new developments.

ITEM 5.2.5: MEMBERS' MOTIONS – Make Peckham Rye Station safe and accessible (see pages 44-46 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT E

Moved: Councillor Maria Linforth-Hall
Seconded: Councillor Emily Tester

Insert in 1.k after “North Dulwich”, “West Dulwich”

Insert after 1.k

i. that the Bermondsey Tube station recently was rendered unusable for wheelchair users and those with limited mobility for over 6 months whilst a lift replacement project took an unreasonably long time, and then broke almost as soon as it was replaced, again making access to the public transport network unreasonably hard for disabled residents

Insert at end of 3:

h. Support the upgrading of North Dulwich and West Dulwich train stations in principle, and agree to fund feasibility studies to facilitate giving them step free access

i. Support the campaign led by Hina Bokhari AM for the London Mayor to appoint a disability champion, so accessibility is always baked into London-wide decision making

j. Write to London Mayor Sadiq Khan to stress the importance of maintaining consistent step-free access at stations where there currently is provision for lifts, and limiting disruption caused by planned maintenance.

Renumber all paragraphs and sub-paragraphs accordingly.

ITEM 5.2.6: MEMBERS' MOTIONS – Our water our way (see pages 46-48 of the main agenda)

AMENDMENT F

Moved: Councillor Adam Hood
Seconded: Councillor Jane Salmon

Insert at end of 2.

l. that this Labour Government has failed to tackle the sewage crisis and crack down on rogue water companies across the country, including Thames Water

m. That Labour MPs, together with Reform and Conservative MPs repeatedly voted against Liberal Democrat amendments to the Government's Water Bill which would have, amongst other measures:

- Scrapped Ofwat to introduce a new regulator with teeth
- Set clear pollution targets
- Prevented customers from footing the bill in the event of a water company falling into administration

n. That the Liberal Democrats were the first to call for Thames Water to be put into administration

o. In March, there was severe disruption to bus services as a result of emergency work from Thames Water. Residents were left stranded on the Rotherhithe peninsula in an area already underserved by public transport. Labour-run Southwark suggested that TfL knew about the emergency works for 2 days before informing the council, whereas Labour-run TfL insist that they did inform the council and residents appropriately.

p. That in light of the above – residents can only be left to conclude that neither Thames Water nor the Labour Party are capable of properly communicating with residents about works which will have severe impact on their ability to access transport networks.

Delete 3.a.ii

Insert at end of 3.a

iv. Ensure that customers do not foot the bill for costs involved in putting Thames Water into administration

v. Restructure Thames Water into a public benefit company, with local environment experts on the board

vi. Abolish failed regulator Ofwat, and replace with a new regulator – the Clean Water Authority

Renumber all paragraphs and sub-paragraphs accordingly.

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY AGENDA DISTRIBUTION LIST (OPEN) (FULL LIST)
MUNICIPAL YEAR 2025/26

NOTE: Original held by Constitutional Team; all amendments/queries to
 Virginia Wynn-Jones Tel: 020 7525 7055

ONE COPY TO ALL UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED	Copies	To	Copies
Councillors (1 each) Councillor Jasmine Ali Councillor Naima Ali Councillor Renata Hamvas Councillor Maria Linforth-Hall Councillor Darren Merrill Councillor Leo Pollak Councillor Sandra Rhule Councillor Martin Seaton Councillor Andy Simmons Councillor Cleo Soanes Councillor Kath Whittam Councillor Kieron Williams	12	Press Southwark News South London Press Group Offices Arthur Holmes, Labour Group Office Oliver Bradfield, Liberal Democrat Group Office Officers Althea Loderick Clive Palfreyman Doreen Forrester-Brown Rhona Cadenhead Chidilim Agada Aled Richards David Quirke-Thornton Hakeem Osinaike Darren Summers	by email by email by email by email by email by email by email by email 1 by email by email by email by email by email by email by email
Electronic Versions (No hard copy) All other councillors		Constitutional Team (Copies to Virginia Wynn-Jones, 2 nd Floor, Hub 2, Tooley Street)	7
		Total:	20
		Last updated: May 2025	